REPORT TO: Cabinet Member – Regeneration Services

DATE: 29 September 2010

SUBJECT: Revenue Expenditure, Capital Programme and

Performance - 2009/10 Portfolio Final

Accounts

WARDS AFFECTED: None directly

REPORT OF: Planning & Economic Regeneration Director –

Andy Wallis

Interim Head of Corporate Finance and ICT

Strategy – John Farrell

CONTACT OFFICER: D. Gant – 0151 934 2378

Kevin McBlain - 0151 934 4049

EXEMPT/CONFIDENTIAL: No

PURPOSE/SUMMARY:

To notify the Cabinet Member of the final 2009/10 outturn position for the Regeneration Portfolio.

REASON WHY DECISION REQUIRED:

To allow the Cabinet Member to consider whether there are any issues arising from the 2009/10 accounts for the portfolio which should be referred to the Scrutiny and Review Committee (Regeneration & Environmental Services).

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Cabinet Member is asked to:

- a) Note the Portfolio's revenue expenditure outturn for 2009/10;
- b) Note the impact of the Portfolio's capital expenditure outturn for 2009/10;
- c) Note the comments made on performance in 2009/10; and
- d) Consider whether any issues should be referred to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee (Regeneration & Environmental Services) for consideration.

KEY DECISION: No

FORWARD PLAN: Not appropriate

IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS:	
None	

IMPLICATIONS:

Budget/Policy Framework:

Financial:

This report identifies a revenue budget under spend of £0.048m for 2009/10 for this Portfolio which has been added to the general balances of the Council. Actual capital expenditure against the Capital Programme has resulted in a re-phasing of expenditure of £1.077m into 2010/11.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	2009/10 £	2010/11 £	2011/12 £	2012/13 £
Gross Increase in Capital				
Expenditure				
Funded by:				
Sefton Capital Resources				
Specific Capital Resources				
REVENUE IMPLICATIONS				
Gross Increase in Revenue				
Expenditure				
Funded by:				
Sefton funded Resources				
Funded from External				
Resources				
Does the External Funding	have an	When?	1	1
expiry date? Y/N				
How will the service be fun expiry?	ided post			

Legal: Not appropriate

Risk Assessment: Not appropriate

Asset Management: Not appropriate

CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN/VIEWS

FD NUMBER 515 – The Interim Head of Corporate Finance and ICT Strategy has been consulted and his comments have been incorporated into this report. The Planning & Economic Regeneration Department were involved in the closure of the 2009/10 accounts.

CORPORATE OBJECTIVE MONITORING:

Corporate Objective		Positive Impact	Neutral Impact	Negative Impact
1	Creating a Learning Community		$\sqrt{}$	
2	Creating Safe Communities		V	
3	Jobs and Prosperity		√	
4	Improving Health and Well-Being		√	
5	Environmental Sustainability		√	
6	Creating Inclusive Communities		√	
7	Improving the Quality of Council Services and Strengthening local Democracy	V		
8	Children and Young People		$\sqrt{}$	

	OF BACKGROUND REPORT	PAPERS RELIED	UPON IN THE	PREPARATION OF
None				

Revenue Expenditure, Capital Programme and Performance – 2009/10 Portfolio Final Accounts

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Audit and Governance Committee considered, and approved for audit, the Council's full Statement of Accounts on 30th June 2010. This report outlines the 2009/10 revenue and capital outturn for the Regeneration Portfolio and identifies the major variations. The report also contains details of the Portfolio's operational performance in 2009/10 against its relevant indicators, together with comments by the Planning & Economic Regeneration Director, highlighting any issues that have ongoing implications for future years.
- 1.2 A separate report will be presented to the appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committee to allow an independent review of all Portfolios' outturn positions.

2 Revenue Expenditure 2009/10

- 2.1 The closure of the 2009/10 Revenue Accounts has now been completed, however the detail is still subject to examination by the Auditors PricewaterhouseCoopers. The outturn for the Council indicates that General Fund Balances will decrease (when compared to the budgeted position) to £3.661m owing to an overall net under spending of £0.021m.
- 2.2 The final outturn position for this portfolio, when compared to the 2009/10 Revenue Budget indicates a net underspend of £0.048m.
- 2.3 **Annex A** summarises the divisions of service provided by this Portfolio and compares the 2009/10 estimates with provisional outturn figures. The main variations within the net under spend are analysed below:

	£m	£m
Budget Variations		
a) Under-achievement of departmental Savings	0.025	
b) Net overall Staff underspend	-0.061	
c) Atlantic Gateway net underspend	-0.031	
d) Miscellaneous net variations	<u>0.019</u>	-0.048
Net weather water and		0.040
Net portfolio underspend		-0.048

The above net under spend of £0.048m represents 0.4% of the Portfolio's 2009/10 revenue budget.

2.4 The Planning & Economic Regeneration Director comments as follows:

The Out-turn was as forecasted and included anticipated safeguarded match for future committed investments.

3 Capital Expenditure 2009/10

- 3.1 The capital monitoring statement is shown in Annex B.
- 3.2 The Planning & Economic Regeneration Director has no comments.

4 Performance in 2009/10

- 4.1 The table shown at Annex C shows this committee's new national performance indicators which came into effect from 1st April 2009. These exclude any item's which had no data against them for 2009/10 and draw a comparison, where available, of actual performance against regional and national averages.
- 4.2 Sefton continues to perform well against regional standards but in line with Merseyside and the North West performance it does less well against national averages reflecting the long term challenges which face the regeneration of our economy.

5 On-going issues for later financial years

In terms of revenue there is a reliance on essential time limited funding (eg ESF, ERDF and Local Enterprise Fund) which will reduce or cease on or after 2012.

6 Recommendations

The Cabinet Member is asked to:

- a) Note the Portfolio's revenue expenditure outturn for 2009/10;
- b) Note the impact of the Portfolio's capital expenditure outturn for 2009/10;
- c) Note the comments made on performance in 2009/10; and
- d) Consider whether, in the light of the comments made by the Planning & Economic Regeneration Director, any issues should be referred to the Scrutiny and Review Committee (Regeneration & Environmental Services) for consideration

Annex A

REGENERATION PORTFOLIO

Final Accounts 2009/10 - Revenue Expenditure Summary

Ref. No.	DETAILS	ACTUAL 2008/2009	REVISED 2009/2010	ACTUAL 2009/2010
	SUMMARY	£	£	£
	PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT			
16	Economic Development Projects Co-Ordination, Community Regeneration & Research & Business Support	1,518,877	1,615,350	1,578,085
37	Projects	6,299,110	8,063,150	5,813,473
40	Area Improvement Initiatives	775,630	3,098,900	3,098,900
51	Service Management & Support Services Economic Development	0	0	0
52	Vacancy Management/Turnover Savings	0	-19,300	0
53	Provision for Pay Awards	0	0	0
54	Provision for Price Inflation	0	0	O
55	Sickness Savings	0	-1,200	O
56	Improved Procurement	0	0	0
57	General Efficiency	0	0	0
58	Project underspends transferred to Earmarked Reserves	0	0	2,218,663
59	Total Net Expenditure	8,593,617	12,756,900	12,709,121
	EMPLOYEE SUMMARY			
	Number of Employees	65.5	83.5	78.5

METROPOLITAN BOROUGH OF SEFTON

ANNEX B

CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2009/10 - 2011/12

REGENERATION1 2 3 4 5 6

REF NO.	. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL COST	REVISED ESTIMATE 2009/10	OUTTURN 2009/10	REPHASING TO 2010/11
	<u>General</u>	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
1	Development Fund	90.00	74.21	54.65	19.56
2	Leeds/Liverpool Canal	1,020.31	16.63	16.63	0.00
3	Lord Street Phase 2	4,244.00	163.31	144.96	18.35
4	Netherton Park Neighbourhood Centre	2,063.92	1.23	7.75	-6.52
5	South Sefton Investment Centre	6,934.65	101.03	0.00	101.03
6	Crosby Lakeside Adventure Centre	8,941.00	339.67	321.83	17.84
7	Crosby Lakeside Adventure Centre- Fit-out costs	700.00	700.00	700.00	0.00
8	Crosby Marine Lake - Water Quality Imprvmnt.	1,139.00	792.13	761.02	31.11
9	Southport Commerce Pk 3rd phase Devel.	884.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Southport Commerce Pk Primary Sub Station	101.46	70.70	38.04	32.66
11	Stepclever Property Project	2,108.38	260.06	89.49	170.57
12	Sefton Narrow Boat	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00
13	CPO 1 - 6 Pacific Road Bootle	75.64	0.00	75.64	-75.64
14	Old Schemes	0.82	0.82	0.53	0.29
	Total General Regeneration Schemes	28,353.18	2,569.79	2,260.54	309.25

METROPOLITAN BOROUGH OF SEFTON

ANNEX B

CAPITAL	. PROGRAMME 2009/10 - 2011/12

REG	EGENERATION 2		4	5	6
REF	. PROJECT	3 TOTAL COST			REPHASING TO 2010/11
	Housing Regeneration Schemes	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
15	Home Improvement Grants Previous Years' Approvals	4,809.95	16.62	1.85	14.77
16	2007/08 Approvals	735.54	29.36	27.60	1.76
17	2008/09 Approvals	583.96	226.42	224.46	1.96
18	2009/10 Approvals	491.00	291.00	337.79	-46.79
19	Grant Administration Fees	297.60	91.11	19.66	71.45
	Total Home Improvement Grants_	6,918.05	654.51	611.36	43.15
	General				
20	Energy Efficiency Grants	387.53	65.86	14.67	51.19
21	Landlord Accreditation / HMO's	35.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	Green Business Project	302.89	60.20	23.91	36.29
23	Empty Homes Strategy	290.00	7.78	8.15	-0.37
24	Strategic Housing Market Assessment	51.15	3.50	3.50	0.00
25	Housing Act - Works in Default	35.00	28.60	9.17	19.43
26	Older Persons Hsg Strat extra care provn.	3,000.00	300.00	0.00	300.00
27	Contribution to HMRI 2008/09	7,778.00	2,624.00	2,339.50	284.50

METROPOLITAN BOROUGH OF SEFTON

CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2009/10 - 2011/12

REG	ENERATION 2	3	4	5	6
REF NO.		TOTAL COST	REVISED ESTIMATE 2009/10	OUTTURN 2009/10	REPHASING TO 2010/11
		£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
28	General Affordable Housing Study	25.00	25.00	0.24	24.76
29	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Provision	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
30	Old Schemes	10.24	9.40	0.00	9.40
	Total General	11,926.81	3,124.34	2,399.14	725.20
	Total Regeneration Housing Schemes	18,844.86	3,778.85	3,010.50	768,35
	GRAND TOTAL REGENERATION SCHEMES	47,198.04	6,348.64	5,271.04	1,077.60

FINAL ACCOUNTS 2009/10 - REGENERATION PORTFOLIO

ANNEX C

Data taken from the PAT Places Analysis Tool

Code	- <u>Name</u>	- Polarit	<u> </u>	<u>PAT</u> <u>Latest</u> <u>Value</u>	PAT Regional Average		10% Variance	PAT National Average		10% Variance
NI 151	Overall Employment rate (working-age) Higher	%	72.3E	70.3	©	4.41%	73	8	0.55%
NI 152	Working age people on out of work benefits	Lower	%	16.9	16.2	8	3.09%	12.7	8	31.50%
NI 153	Working age people claiming out of work benefits in the worst performing neighbourhoods	Lower	%	34	33.6	8	0.30%	31.2	8	8.01%
NI 171	New business registration rate	Higher	Number	40E	49.6	8	-19.76%	57.2	8	-30.42%
NI 172	Percentage of small businesses in an area showing employment growth	Higher	%	14.3	14.5	8	-1.38%	14.2	©	0.70%
NI 173	Flows on to incapacity benefits from employment	Lower	%	0.7	0.7	<u></u>	0.00%	0.5	8	40.00%
NI 176	Working age people with access to employment by public transport (and other specified modes)	Higher	%	82	82	<u></u>	0.00%	82	(2)	0.00%
Note :	The above list of National Indicators may	y not incl	ude all Indic	cators rele	vant to this	depa	rtment, as ite	ems with 'N	il' act	tual
	values for 2009-10 have been excluded								1	